1	JUDGE HERB ROSS (Recalled)								
2	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ALASKA								
3		, AK 99501-2253 — (Website: www.akb.uscourts.gov) 59-8059 In-State) — Judge's Fax: 907-271-2692							
4									
5									
6		Filed On 7/23/08							
7									
8									
9									
10	In re	Case No. A07-00481-DMD							
11	ULF M. PETERSEN,	In Chapter 13							
	Debtor(s)	MEMORANDUM REGARDING CONFIRMATION OF AMENDED							
12		CHAPTER 13 PLAN							
13									
14	1. <u>INTRODUCTION</u> - A confirmation hearing was held on July 22, 2008, regarding								
15	debtor's amended plan. After hearing argument and testimony, the court made some tentative								
16	rulings, subject to the court verifying the law. After doing the research I determined to confirm								
17	the amended plan: (a) without delaying the voiding of the clerk's deed; and, (b) acknowledging								
18	the dischargeability of Erica Richie's § 523(a)(15) property settlement claim for less than full								
19	payment; she should get about two-thirds under a full-compliance plan. This memo is also to								
20	make a better record about the court's reasons for confirming.								
21	2. <u>ERICA RICHIE'S CLAIMS</u> - At the confirmation hearing, the parties agreed that the								
22	priority portion of Erica Richie's Proof of Claim No. 3, amounting to <u>\$17,696.15</u> , is for debtor's								
23	domestic support obligation to her.								
24	I determined that the balance of her claim, <u>\$58,420.67</u> , is unsecured, and not secured.								

Erica Richie acknowledges that the \$58,420.67 claim is one under 11 USC § 523(a)(15) for

Case 07-00481 Doc 113 Filed 07/23/08 Entered 07/23/08 15:37:14 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 4

property settlement, and not one under § 523(a)(5) for support.¹ She claimed this amount as a 1 2 secured claim because she acquired a superior court clerk's deed to debtor's home in an Alaska 3 state divorce court proceeding. The deed was obtained in violation of the automatic stay, and by entry of the order confirming the plan, it will be deemed void pursuant to orders of Judge Donald 4 MacDonald IV.² At the confirmation hearing on July 22, 2008, Erica Richie's attorney 5 acknowledged that her assertion of a secured claim is based on an equitable mortgage theory 6 7 premised on the validity of the clerk's deed; i.e., the deed is really to secure payment of the debt. Since the deed is void, the debt is unsecured. 8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. DISCHARGEABILITY OF § 523(a)(15) CLAIM; AMOUNT RICHIE WILL BE PAID-

Richie's § 523(a)(15) claim *is* dischargeable in a full compliance (i.e., full payment) case under the
terms of 11 USC § 1328(a). On the other hand, if the debtor fails to fully comply, the balance of
the § 523(a)(15) claim will not be discharged. He will either get a hardship discharge under
§ 1328(b), or maybe a discharge under chapter 7, or maybe no discharge at all. In any event,
§ 1328(b) discharges do not discharge § 523(a)(15) debt; a full compliance discharge under §
1328(a) does discharge § 523(a)(15) debt.

To analyze what this means, I have prepared a table to calculate approximately what Ms. Richie will receive in a full compliance chapter 13 case under the plan being confirmed.³ My numbers are close to what the trustee calculates will be due under the plan. He says in his case

³ See, attached spreadsheet titled A07-00481-DMD, Ulf M. Petersen - Plan Analysis.

MEMORANDUM REGARDING CONFIRMATION OF AMENDED CHAPTER 13 PLAN

¹ See, Objection of Erica Richie to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan, Docket No. 110, filed July 21, 2008: "Richie's claim is for \$76,116.82 consisting of \$58,420.67 claimed as secured which is a property settlement claim falling within section 523(a)(15) of the code. There is a \$17,696.15 non-dischargeable priority debt which falls within 523(a)(5) of the code. The plan should the amended to differentiate what portion of the monthly payments are being applied to the nondischargeable 523(a)(5) claim and what portions are being applied to the secured claim."

² Order Regarding Motion for Continued Relief from Clerk's Deed, Docket 102, filed June 16, 2008, and Order Regarding Order to Show Cause and Motion to Vacate, Docket No. 92, filed April 18, 2008.

Case 07-00481 Doc 113 Filed 07/23/08 Entered 07/23/08 15:37:14 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 4

report the plan should pay a total of \$69,993.00; my calculations show \$69,142.66. The trustee said at the hearing about \$63,000.00 to creditors; I came up with \$62,228.39.

If no more claims are filed,⁴ Ms. Richie should start getting about 95% of the unsecured creditors' payments in about October 2010 (after payment of her priority claim of \$17,696.15 from the first funds). Debtor listed only a small amount of unsecured debt in his schedules.

If debtor complies with the plan, per my calculations a little more than \$20,000 of the Richie unsecured claim will be discharged (if more claims are filed, more of Ms. Richie's claim would be discharged; her recovery would be watered down). But, the unpaid balance, whatever it is, will not ride through the bankruptcy and will not be collectable at the other end if debtor fully performs his plan.

And, if my analysis is right, the debtor might consider *not* challenging the Richie unsecured claim (he has 20 days to do so), because if he just adheres to the plan he will come out just as well with a discharge as he would by getting the claim reduced (he would have to get at least a \$20,000 reduction to improve over just discharging that amount by performing his plan).

The calculations also show that if the debtor complies with the confirmation requirements Ms. Richie will receive more from the plan than she would in a chapter 7 liquidation.⁵ Ms. Richie claims there is \$30,000 in equity in the debtor's home which is not exempt from Richie's divorce judgment. Even if there is \$30,000 in equity, the usual 6% transaction costs in a sale would eat up about half of the \$30,000. The plan is better for her than a chapter 7.

4. <u>STATE COURT CLERK'S DEED WILL BE VOIDED</u>- The clerk's deed entered in favor of Erica Richie will be voided by the confirmation. I originally considered a confirmation order which would void the deed after one-year of plan payment compliance by debtor. I have since

⁴ The claims bar date is July 29, 2008.

⁵ 11 USC § 1325(a)(4).

MEMORANDUM REGARDING CONFIRMATION OF AMENDED CHAPTER 13 PLAN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Case 07-00481 Doc 113 Filed 07/23/08 Entered 07/23/08 15:37:14 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 4

determined that I could or should not revise the debtor's plan to add this term just because I think 1 2 it is a neat compromise.

First, the clerk's deed was obtained in violation of the automatic stay. And, even though Judge MacDonald was willing to annul the stay to allow the deed to stand if a plan is not 4 confirmed, he ordered that it be voided if a plan is confirmed by July 25, 2008.

Second, there are more than sufficient incentives for debtor to perform the plan instead of using it as a ruse to secrete assets. The plan buys the possibility of some peace between the warring parties; there is not enough equity in the house to justify such a maneuver; and, debtor would have to abandon the possibility of discharging \$20,000 of § 523(a)(15) debt if he does not perform.

11 Third, it is debtor's plan and not mine, and he has not definitely consented to my 12 suggested delay in voiding the deed.

13		Fourth, given the litigious history between the parities, why add a future battle about this
14	issue?	

DATED: July 23, 2008

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

28

/s/ Herb Ross HERB ROSS U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

19 20 Serve: Ulf Peterson, Debtor 21 Hal Gazaway, Esq., 8620 Boundary Ave., Anchorage, AK 99504, for Erica Richie Greg Oczkus, Esq., for Erica Richie 22 Larry Compton, Trustee US Trustee 07/23/08 23 24 Attachment: Plan Analysis 25 26 27

MEMORANDUM REGARDING CONFIRMATION OF AMENDED CHAPTER 13 PLAN

D6812

Case 07-00481 Doc 113-1 Filed 07/23/08 Entered 07/23/08 15:37:14 Desc Plan Ananlysis Page 1 of 1

A07-00481-DMD, Ulf M. Petersen - Plan Analysis											
Plan Periods	Mo. Pymt	Mos	Yearly	APFD	GrossAmt	Net 90%	Cumm Net				
07/01/08 to 06/01/09	710.00	12	8,520.00	1,500.00	10,020.00	9,018.00	9,018.00				
07/01/09 to10/01/10	856.00	16	13,696.00	1,500.00	15,196.00	13,676.40	22,694.40				
11/01/10 to 12/01/11	1,056.00	14	14,784.00	1,500.00	16,284.00	14,655.60	37,350.00				
01/01/12 to 05/01/13	1,452.37	18	26,142.66	1,500.00	27,642.66	24,878.39	62,228.39				
– Totals		60	63,142.66	6,000.00	69,142.66	62,228.39					
Erica Richie's POC 3											
Priority DSO	17,696.15										
Unsecured	58,420.67										
– Total	76,116.82										
Priority Claims											
Erica Richie-POC 3	17,696.15										
IRS-POC4	4,263.56										
– Total	21,959.71										
Unsecured Claims											
eCast Settlement-POC 1	460.37										
eCast Settlement-POC 2	1,827.74										
Erica Richie-POC 3	58,420.67										
IRS-POC4	788.08										
– Total	61,496.86		Erica's pe	ercent of u	insecured cla	aims ==>	94.998%				
Amount for Unsecured Claims											
Net to creditors	62,228.39										
Less priority claims	-21,959.71										
 Total net for unsecured 	40,268.68										
Erica Richie's unsecured recovery											
Percent recovered (\$40,268.68/\$61,496.86)	65.48%										
Net unsecured paid Erica under plan	38,254.37		94.998% of	\$40,268.6	8						
Discharged under § 1328(a)	20,166.30										
- Total of Erica Richie's unsecured claim	58,420.67										